UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/573,915	03/30/2006	Mitsuhiko Shirakashi	2006_0413A	2109
	7590 08/31/200 , LIND & PONACK, 1	EXAMINER		
1030 15th Street, N.W., Suite 400 East			TADESSE, YEWEBDAR T	
Washington, DC 20005-1503			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/31/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/573,915	SHIRAKASHI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	YEWEBDAR T. TADESSE	1792			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 Ju</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-10,18 and 19 is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 and 11-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	withdrawn from consideration.				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 30 March 2006 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/06&9/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

Application/Control Number: 10/573,915 Page 2

Art Unit: 1792

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of group I in the reply filed on 06/11/2009 is acknowledged.

2. Claims 7-10 and 18-19 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 06/11/2009.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: in claim 1, line 3; the word "holding" is misspelled. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-5 and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sakurai et al (US 2002/0081118 A1).

With respect to claim 1, Sakurai et al discloses (see Figs 3C, 4 and 7) a processing liquid coating apparatus comprising a substrate holder (75); a processing liquid supply unit (21) disposed apart from the substrate held by the substrate holder;

wherein the processing liquid supply unit has a plurality of supply ports (22a, 22b and 22c) for supplying processing liquid to a plurality of portions including a central portion of the surface of the substrate, and the processing liquid is a resist liquid or a developer.

As to claims 2, 5 and 11-13, Sakurai et al discloses a plurality of suction ports (33) for sucking the processing liquid on the substrate, capable of sucking from peripheral portion of the substrate.

Regarding claim 3, in Sakurai et al (see Fig 3C) the plurality of supply ports and the plurality of suction ports are arranged alternately and linearly.

As to claim 4, in Sakurai et al the processing liquid supply unit is movable in a radial direction of the substrate.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

8. Claims 6 and 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sakurai et al (US 2002/0081118 A1) in view of Park et al (US 2005/0084606 A1 or Ema et al (US 6,709,699).

Sakurai et al lacks teaching a gas supply unit for ejecting a gas toward the surface of a substrate, the gas supply unit is movable from the central portion to a peripheral portion of a substrate. However, Park et al or Ema et al discloses a movable gas supply unit (see air flow supply nozzle 601 and gas injection pipe 162 or 262, Figs 7 and 6 of Park et al and Ema et al respectively), capable of moving from the central portion to a peripheral portion of a substrate. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a gas supply unit in Sakurai et al to prevent the formation of bubbles (see paragraph 61); or to dry the liquid coating or film (column 10, lines 4-9) as taught by Park et al and Ema et al respectively.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to YEWEBDAR T. TADESSE whose telephone number is (571)272-1238. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00 AM - 4:30 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/573,915 Page 5

Art Unit: 1792

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine Norton can be reached on (571) 272-1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Yewebdar T Tadesse/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792